PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

# Relic Right-handed Dirac Neutrinos and Cosmic Neutrino Background

**Shun Zhou**[*†]
*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
*E-mail:* zhoush@ihep.ac.cn

The PTOLEMY experiment, implementing a 100 g surface-deposition tritium target, is promising to detect cosmic neutrino background via $\nu_e + {}^3\mathrm{H} \to {}^3\mathrm{He} + e^-$. In this talk, I consider a thermal production of right-handed Dirac neutrinos in the early Universe, and investigate their impact on the capture rate of cosmic relic neutrinos at PTOLEMY.

*Neutrino Oscillation Workshop*
*4 - 11 September, 2016*
*Otranto (Lecce, Italy)*

[*]Speaker.

[†]This work was supported in part by the National Recruitment Program for Young Professionals and by the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP).

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).



arXiv:1612.08320v1 [hep-ph] 26 Dec 2016

## 1. Introduction

One milestone achievement of the big bang cosmology is the prediction for cosmic microwave background (CMB), which has now been precisely measured and led to a tremendous progress in our understanding of the Universe [1]. As another solid prediction from the big bang theory, cosmic neutrino background (C$\nu$B) should exist as well and it must carry useful information about the early Universe when it was just one second old. Therefore, a direct detection of C$\nu$B in terrestrial laboratories is of crucial importance to test the standard cosmology on the one hand, and to open a new window on probing intrinsic properties of neutrinos themselves on the other hand.

When the temperature of the Universe dropped down to $T = T_{\rm L} \approx 1$ MeV, the Hubble expansion rate exceeded the weak interaction rate of left-handed neutrinos $\nu_{\rm L}$ and right-handed antineutrinos $\overline{\nu}_{\rm R}$, and thus both $\nu_{\rm L}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm R}$ decoupled from the thermal bath. At this moment, $\nu_{\rm L}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm R}$ were extremely relativistic, given neutrino masses $m_\nu \lesssim 0.1$ eV [2]. Consequently, the number density $n_{\nu_{\rm l}}$ of left-helical neutrinos $\nu_{\rm l}$ was equal to that $n_{\nu_{\rm L}}$ of left-handed neutrinos $\nu_{\rm L}$, while the number density $n_{\nu_{\rm r}}$ of right-helical neutrinos $\nu_{\rm r}$ is vanishing. Hence we have $n_{\nu_{\rm l}} = n_{\nu_{\rm L}}$ and $n_{\nu_{\rm r}} = 0$ for neutrinos, while $n_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm r}} = n_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm R}}$ and $n_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}} = 0$ for antineutrinos, at the decoupling temperature $T_{\rm L}$. Since the helicity operator commutes with the free Hamiltonian, neutrino helicities after decoupling are always conserved in the rest frame of C$\nu$B. As the Universe is expanding, the neutrino temperature will be red-shifted. Nowadays, the average temperature of CMB photons is $T_\gamma = 2.725$ K, which is related to the neutrino temperature $T_\nu = (4/11)^{1/3} T_\gamma \approx 1.945$ K. The difference between $T_\gamma$ and $T_\nu$ can be traced back to the reheating of photons via $e^+ e^- \to \gamma\gamma$ around $T = 0.5$ MeV. Therefore, we obtain average number densities $\overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm l}} = \overline{n}_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm r}} \approx 56\ {\rm cm}^{-3}$ per neutrino flavor in the present Universe.

It is a great challenge to detect such low-energy relic neutrinos, whose average momentum is $\langle p_\nu \rangle \approx 5.28 \times 10^{-4}$ eV. One promising approach is to seize non-relativistic relic neutrinos by radioactive $\beta$-decaying nuclei [3], e.g., $\nu_e + {}^3{\rm H} \to {}^3{\rm He} + e^-$, for which there is no energy threshold of $\nu_e$. In this process, the signal is simply a peak located at a distance of $2m_\nu$ from the endpoint of the $\beta$ spectrum for ${}^3{\rm H} \to {}^3{\rm He} + \overline{\nu}_e + e^-$ [4]. The recently proposed PTOLEMY experiment will implement a 100 g surface-deposition tritium target and could reach an energy resolution of 0.15 eV, which will hopefully discover C$\nu$B [5]. See, e.g., Refs. [6, 7], for a review on this topic.

## 2. Dirac Neutrinos

The simplest extension of the Standard Model (SM) to accommodate tiny neutrino masses is to add three right-handed neutrino singlets and generate Dirac masses for neutrinos in the same way as for quarks and charged leptons. However, the huge hierarchy between neutrino masses $m_\nu \lesssim 0.1$ eV and top-quark mass $m_t = 1.71 \times 10^{12}$ eV needs to be further explained. Since the Yukawa couplings of Dirac neutrinos are extremely small $y_\nu \lesssim 10^{-12}$, the direct production of right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{\rm R}$ and left-handed antineutrinos $\overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$ in the early Universe is highly suppressed [8, 9]. Therefore, we have $n_{\nu_{\rm r}} = n_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}} = 0$ at $T = T_{\rm L}$ and today as well.

In Ref. [9], a working example has been given to thermally produce right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{\rm R}$ and left-handed antineutrinos $\overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$. In this scenario, primordial magnetic fields $B_0 \approx 10^{24}$ G within a domain size $L_0 > 10^{-7}$ cm are assumed to be generated during the electroweak phase transition at $T = 100$ GeV. Although the evolution of such magnetic fields in the early Universe is not yet




**Figure 1:** The extra effective number of neutrinos $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ is shown with respect to the decoupling temperature $T_{\rm R}$ of right-handed neutrinos [9].

quite clear, some phenomenological models are available [10]. It can be shown that massive Dirac neutrinos with a small magnetic dipole moment $\mu_\nu = 3 \times 10^{-20}\ (m_\nu/0.1\ {\rm eV})\ \mu_{\rm B}$, where $\mu_{\rm B}$ is the Bohr magneton, can experience spin-flipping conversions $\nu_{\rm L} \to \nu_{\rm R}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm R} \to \overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$ in magnetic fields. For $B_0 \approx 10^{24}$ G and $L_0 > 10^{-7}$ cm, these conversions are sufficiently rapid but become out of equilibrium in the epoch of QCD phase transition around $T \approx 200$ MeV. As these additional thermal relics contribute to the total energy density just like ordinary neutrinos, they are subject to the cosmological upper bound on the extra effective number of neutrinos, namely, $\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.53$ at the 95% confidence level. In Fig. 1, one can observe that the decoupling temperature $T_{\rm R}$ of $\nu_{\rm R}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$ above 200 MeV is compatible with the cosmological bound.

We should calculate the number densities of $\nu_{\rm r}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}$ at present by assuming that the upper bound $\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.53$ is saturated. First, it is straightforward to find the number density at $T_{\rm L}$ [9]

$$\frac{n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm L})}{n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm L})} = \frac{n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm L})}{n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm R})} \cdot \frac{n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm R})}{n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm L})} = \frac{g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm L})}{g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm R})}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm R}) = n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm R})$ and $n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm R})/n_{\nu_{\rm l}}(T_{\rm L}) = T_{\rm R}^3/T_{\rm L}^3$ hold for neutrinos in thermal equilibrium. For the decoupled $\nu_{\rm R}$ in the adiabatically expanding Universe, the entropy conservation gives rise to $n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm R})/n_{\nu_{\rm r}}(T_{\rm L}) = [g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm R})T_{\rm R}^3]/[g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm L})T_{\rm L}^3]$, where $g_{*{\rm s}}$ denotes the effective number of degrees of freedom contributing to the entropy density. Given $T_{\rm R} \approx 200$ MeV and $T_{\rm L} \approx 1$ MeV, we get $g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm R}) \approx 38.4$ and $g_{*{\rm s}}(T_{\rm L}) \approx 10.75$, implying that $n_{\nu_{\rm r}}/n_{\nu_{\rm l}} \approx 28\%$, which remains to be constant until today as both $\nu_{\rm r}$ and $\nu_{\rm l}$ are decoupled below $T_{\rm L}$. Thus, the average number densities are $\overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm r}} = \overline{n}_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}} \approx 16\ {\rm cm}^{-3}$ per neutrino flavor, which should be compared with $\overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm r}} = \overline{n}_{\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}} \approx 0$ in the case without thermal production of $\nu_{\rm R}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$.

## 3. Capture Rates

Now that the C$\nu$B is made of all four helical neutrino states, namely, $\nu_{\rm l}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm r}$ of an average number density 56 cm$^{-3}$, and $\nu_{\rm r}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm l}$ of 16 cm$^{-3}$, their capture rate on the tritium target should

be changed. The capture rate for $\nu_e + {}^3\mathrm{H} \to {}^3\mathrm{He} + e^-$ was first calculated in Ref. [11], and later corrected in Ref. [12]. Considering an unpolarized tritium target and a neutrino mass eigenstate $\nu_i$ of spin $s_\nu$ (i.e., $+1/2$ or $-1/2$), one can find that the product of the cross section $\sigma_i(s_\nu)$ and the neutrino velocity $v_{\nu_i}$ can be written as $\sigma_i(s_\nu) v_{\nu_i} = \mathscr{A}(s_\nu)|U_{ei}|^2 \overline{\sigma}$, where $\overline{\sigma} \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-45}$ cm$^2$, $\mathscr{A}(s_\nu) \equiv 1 - 2 s_\nu v_{\nu_i}$ and $U$ is the unitary lepton flavor mixing matrix. For non-relativistic C$\nu$B neutrinos with $v_{\nu_i} \to 0$, we have $\mathscr{A}(+1/2) = \mathscr{A}(-1/2) \approx 1$, implying that both left- and right-helical neutrino states can equally be captured [12]. The total capture rate is then given by

$$\Gamma_{\rm D} = N_{\rm T} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \sigma_i(-1/2) v_{\nu_i} \overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm l}} + \sigma_i(+1/2) v_{\nu_i} \overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm r}} \right] \approx N_{\rm T} \overline{\sigma} \left( \overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm l}} + \overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm r}} \right) \; , \tag{3.1}$$

where $N_{\rm T}$ is the number of tritium nuclei and the unitarity condition $\sum_i |U_{ei}| = 1$ has been used. It is easy to observe that $\Gamma_{\rm D} \approx 4$ yr$^{-1}$ in the standard case [12] will be increased to $\Gamma_{\rm D} \approx 5.1$ yr$^{-1}$ in the presence of right-handed neutrinos in the early Universe [9]. As pointed out in Ref. [12], if massive neutrinos are Majorana particles, both $\nu_{\rm l}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm r}$ (now should be identified as $\nu_{\rm r}$) will participate in the capture process, leading to a twice larger rate $\Gamma_{\rm M} \approx 8$ yr$^{-1}$.

A final remark is about further considerations on C$\nu$B. In Ref. [13], a nonthermal production of $\nu_{\rm R}$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\rm L}$ from inflaton decays has been proposed for Dirac neutrinos. In this scenario, saturating the bound $\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.53$, the average number density $\overline{n}_{\nu_{\rm r}} \approx 29$ cm$^{-3}$ and thus a capture rate of $\Gamma_{\rm D} \approx 6.1$ yr$^{-1}$ can be reached. Possible discrimination between thermal and nonthermal spectra of right-handed neutrinos may be achieved by observing the annual modulation at PTOLEMY [14].

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Guo-yuan Huang and Jue Zhang for enjoyable collaborations on cosmic relic neutrinos, and the organisers of NOW 2016 for kind invitation and warm hospitality.

## References

[1] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, Oxford University Press, 2008, Oxford, United Kingdom.

[2] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).

[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **128**, 1457 (1962).

[4] J. M. Irvine and R. Humphreys, J. Phys. G **9**, 847 (1983).

[5] S. Betts *et al.*, arXiv:1307.4738.

[6] A. Ringwald, hep-ph/0505024.

[7] P. Vogel, AIP Conf. Proc. **1666**, 140003 (2015).

[8] F. Antonelli, D. Fargion and R. Konoplich, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **32**, 289 (1981).

[9] J. Zhang and S. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. B **903**, 211 (2016) [arXiv:1509.02274].

[10] K. Enqvist, A. I. Rez and V. B. Semikoz, Nucl. Phys. B **436**, 49 (1995) [hep-ph/9408255].

[11] A. G. Cocco, G. Mangano and M. Messina, JCAP **0706**, 015 (2007) [hep-ph/0703075].

[12] A. J. Long, C. Lunardini and E. Sabancilar, JCAP **1408**, 038 (2014) [arXiv:1405.7654].

[13] M. C. Chen, M. Ratz and A. Trautner, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 123006 (2015) [arXiv:1509.00481].

[14] G. Y. Huang and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 116009 (2016) [arXiv:1610.01347].